

ISSN:2454-3225 Journal of Advanced Applied Scientific Research (JOAASR)

Molecular structure, vibrational spectroscopic studies and nonlinear optical analysis of Thiacetazone

Ragamathunnisa M^{a*} Megela R^a Jasmine Vasantha Rani E^b

^a Department of Physics, Government Arts College for Women, Pudukkottai 622001, India ^b PG & Research Department of Physics Seethalakshmi Ramaswami College Trichy - 2.

*Corresponding Author E-mail address: <u>m.rgnspy@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

In this work, theoretical study by using the DFT method on thiacetazone (TCZ) is reported. The FTIR and FT-Raman spectra of TCZ were noted in the regions 4000–400cm⁻¹; The HOMO, LUMO and Gap energy of these compounds have been calculated and reported in this paper. The theoretical study of such compound has not been reported as we know. Thus, our aim to explain the spectroscopic properties on the basis of the DFT quantum chemical calculations and we are interested to explain the nonlinear optical analysis. The study of structural, vibrational spectroscopic studies and nonlinear optical properties for these compounds could help to design more efficient functional photovoltaic organic compounds.

Keywords: FTIR, FT-Raman, DFT, TCZ,NLO



Figure1: Optimized structure of Thiacetazone

Introduction

TCZ is an inexpensive, antitubercular, bacteriostatic drug that has been widely used in combination with isoniazid in Africa and South America¹. Chemical analogues of TCZ, SRI-224 and SRI-286, have been synthesized and tested against Mycobacterium avium and found to be more effective than TCZ in vitro and in mice². We and others have recently shown that TCZ is a prodrug that is activated by the mycobacterial monooxygenase EthA, which is also the activator of two other anti-tuberculosis drugs, ethionamide (ETH) and isoxyl (ISO)^{3, 4, 5}. However, the mechanism of action of TCZ remains an enigma. Our first observation on effects of TCZ on M. bovis BCG was that it affects mycolic acid synthesis³. Therefore the vibrational studies of such a compound is very important for further applications.

The present work deals with the theoretical analysis of FT-IR, FT-Raman spectroscopic investigation of TCZ utilizing DFT (B3LYP) method with 6-311+G (d,p) as basis sets. Vibrational spectral analysis has been done. On the basis of vibrational analysis, the nonlinear optical analysis and thermodynamic properties of the title compound have been calculated. The HOMO and LUMO analysis have been used to elucidate information regarding charge transfer within the molecule.

Materials and Methods

Computational details

The DFT with the Becke's three-parameter hybrid functional (B3) 6,7 for the exchange part and the Lee–Yang–Parr (LYP) correlation function 8 , accepted as a cost-effective approach has been used to compute optimized structural geometrical parameters, vibrational frequencies FT-IR and FT-Raman of the heading molecule. For all calculations are performed tandem with Gaussian 09 suite of quantum chemical codes 9 . The structural parameters after optimized were employed calculations of the vibrational frequencies, isotropic chemical shifts and electronic properties by using B3LYP with 6- 311+G(d,p) basis set.

Results and discussion Geometrical structure

The optimized structure of Thiacetazone are shown in the following Fig 1. The corresponding minimum energy obtained by B3LYP/6-311+G(D,P) method were fall on -1080.9369747. From the optimized geometry the optimized structural parameters are tabulated on Table 2 & 2a.



Figure1: Optimized structure of Thiacetazone

| Bond Angle | | Bond Length | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|--|
| A(1-16-5) | 120.1 | R(1-16) | 1 674 | |
| A(1-16-6) | 124.8 | R(2-14) | 1.218 | |
| A(2-14-3) | 119.0 | R(3-7) | 1.409 | |
| A(2-14-15) | 121.9 | R(3-14) | 1.388 | |
| A(7-3-14) | 131.9 | R(3-21) | 1.013 | |
| A(7-3-21) | 116.3 | R(4-5) | 1.355 | |
| A(3-7-9) | 118.6 | R(4-13) | 1.285 | |
| A(3-7-10) | 122.7 | R(5-16) | 1.373 | |
| A(14-3-21) | 111.4 | R(5-26) | 1.016 | |
| A(3-14-15) | 119.1 | R(6-16) | 1.347 | |
| A(5-4-13) | 117.7 | R(6-27) | 1.009 | |
| A(4-5-16) | 122.2 | R(6-28) | 1.005 | |
| A(4-5-26) | 121.8 | R(7-9) | 1.401 | |
| A(4-13-8) | 122.8 | R(7-10) | 1.404 | |
| A(4-13-22) | 120.6 | R(8-11) | 1.403 | |
| A(16-5-26) | 116.1 | R(8-12) | 1.404 | |
| A(5-16-6) | 115.1 | R(8-13) | 1.459 | |
| A(16-6-27) | 120.4 | R(9-11) | 1.388 | |
| A(16-6-28) | 118.3 | R(9-17) | 1.084 | |
| A(27-6-28) | 121.3 | R(10-12) | 1.386 | |
| A(9-7-10) | 118.6 | R(10-18) | 1.081 | |
| A(7-9-11) | 120.6 | R(11-19) | 1.085 | |
| A(7-9-17) | 119.5 | R(12-20) | 1.083 | |
| A(7-10-12) | 120.5 | R(13-22) | 1.096 | |
| A(7-10-18) | 120.0 | R(14-15) | 1.514 | |
| A(11-8-12) | 118.0 | R(15-23) | 1.089 | |
| A(11-8-13) | 119.2 | R(15-24) | 1.094 | |
| A(8-11-9) | 121.1 | R(15-25) | 1.090 | |
| A(8-11-19) | 119.7 | | | |
| A(12-8-13) | 122.7 | | | |
| A(8-12-10) | 121.1 | | | |
| A(8-12-20) | 119.2 | | | |
| A(8-13-22) | 116.6 | | | |
| A(11-9-17) | 120.0 | | | |
| A(9-11-19) | 119.2 | | | |
| A(12-10-18) | 119.4 | | | |
| A(10-12-20) | 119.7 | | | |
| A(14-15-23) | 107.3 | | | |
| A(14-15-24) | 109.9 | | | |
| A(14-15-25) | 113.1 | | | |
| A(23-15-24) | 107.8 | | | |
| | 110 1 | | | |

Atom. No Atom S 1 0 2 Ν 3 Ν 4 Ν 5 Ν 6 С 7 С 8 С 9 С 10 С 11 12 С С 13 С 14 С 15 С 16 Η 17 18 Η 19 Η Η 20 21 Η 22 Η 23 Η 24 Н 25 Η 26 Η 27 Н

Table 2: Atom Number from the optimized figure of Thiacetazone

Η

28

Table 2a : Bond Angle and Bond Length of Thiacetazone

3.2 Vibrational analysis

The FTIR and FT RAMAN spectrum of Thiacetazone is shown in Figure 2 & 3, Thiacetazone shows C=O^{10,11}stretching vibration at lower wave number, 1748.7cm-1 due to less nitrogen electron pair derealization. The N–H¹² bend bending modes are assigned to peaks at 1611.4, 1642.8 cm-1. The C-N¹³ single bond stretching vibrations are positioned between 1200 and 1300 cm-1. The C=S stretching^{14,15} vibrations are intense and sharp between 1500 and 1400cm⁻¹. Above experimental data compared with computationally

calculated data, they were good agreement with each other. Vibrational parameters are tabulated in Table 3.

| Frequncy | IR intensity | Raman intensity | Assignment |
|-----------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 942.6577 | 16.5945 | 8.3018 | |
| 969.8947 | 4.2765 | 4.8009 | |
| 984.4457 | 0.2211 | 1.4678 | |
| 1027.3082 | 39.0444 | 5.421 | |
| 1029.2858 | 24.9493 | 2.7326 | |
| 1054.5713 | 10.6865 | 0.6728 | |
| 1064.4353 | 33.3906 | 24.2031 | |
| 1126.603 | 175.2782 | 378.5144 | |
| 1149.4841 | 64.9408 | 89.5749 | |
| 1200.6882 | 49.3741 | 776.6804 | C–N stretch |
| 1251.1103 | 23.8161 | 56.2523 | C–N stretch |
| 1256.3099 | 19.6127 | 581.4493 | C–N stretch |
| 1278.5125 | 491.3925 | 45.1278 | C–N stretch |
| 1320.2474 | 504.9653 | 23.4176 | |
| 1331.5617 | 91.6578 | 272.3188 | C–H rock |
| 1337.2286 | 72.0468 | 177.8181 | C-H rock |
| 1388.4765 | 160.0418 | 181.4324 | |
| 1401.0941 | 92.573 | 9.2019 | C-H bend |
| 1435.828 | 8.3086 | 419.156 | |
| 1448.1209 | 73.7145 | 5.4051 | C-H bend |
| 1476.4314 | 23.634 | 5.3463 | |
| 1487.8138 | 11.6301 | 12.2342 | |
| 1492.829 | 11.1626 | 1.5443 | |
| 1528.1261 | 782.3795 | 11.1877 | C=S Stretch |
| 1553.3907 | 21.0961 | 75.756 | |
| 1603.6121 | 0.3092 | 1373.9404 | |
| 1611.3756 | 225.3276 | 203.7576 | N–H bend |
| 1642.8191 | 78.4535 | 7257.33 | N–H bend |
| 1662.4465 | 79.6983 | 1442.4766 | -C=C- stretch |
| 1748.7072 | 700.8205 | 195.4787 | C=O stretch |
| 3042.0265 | 45.7271 | 64.2132 | =C-H stretch |
| 3047.0717 | 2.4527 | 177.978 | |

Table 3: vibrational parameters of Thiacetazone



Figure: 2 FTIR Theoretical spectrum of thiacetazone



Figure: 3 FT – RAMAN Theoretical spectrum of Thiacetazone

HOMO-LUMO analysis

To explain several types of reactions and for predicting the most reactive position in conjugated systems, molecular orbitals and their properties such as energy are used. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are the most important orbital's in a molecule. The eigen values of HOMO and LUMO and their energy gap reflect the biological activity of the molecule. A molecule having a small frontier orbital's gap is more polarizable and is generally associated with a high chemical reactivity and low kinetic stability. HOMO, which can be thought as the outer orbital containing electrons, tends to give these electrons as an electron donor and hence the ionization potential is directly related to the energy of the HOMO. On the other hand LUMO can accept electrons and the LUMO energy is directly related to electron affinity. Two important molecular orbital's (MO) were examined for the title compound, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) which are given in Figs. 4 & 5. In the title compound, the HOMO of π nature is delocalized over the whole C–C bonds of the phenyl ring, and carbonyl group and C=S groups. By contrast, LUMO is located over the carbonyl group and C=S groups. Accordingly, the HOMO–LUMO transition implies an electron density transfer from the phenyl ring to the carbonyl group through the C=S group. For understanding various aspects of pharmacological sciences including drug design and the possible ecotoxicological characteristics of the drug molecules, several new chemical reactivity descriptors have been proposed. Conceptual DFT based descriptors have helped in many ways to understand the structure of molecules and their reactivity by calculating the chemical potential, global hardness and

electrophilicity. Using HOMO and LUMO orbital energies, the ionization energy and electron affinity can be expressed as: $I = E_{HOMO}$, $A = E_{LUMO}$, $n = (-E_{HOMO} + E_{LUMO})/2$ and $\mu = 1/2(E_{HOMO} + E_{LUMO})$ proposed the global electrophilicity power of a ligand as $\omega = \mu 2/2n$.



Figure 2: HOMO and LUMO plots of Thiacetazone

Atomic charges:

The charge distribution of Thiacetazone shows that the S, O and C have negative charges whereas all the hydrogen atoms have positive charges. The maximum atomic charge is obtained for H when compared with other atoms. This is due to the attachment of negatively charged carbonyl group. Various atomic charges tabulated in table 4.

| Mulliken atomic charges | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------|----|---|-----------|
| 1 | S | -0.554851 | 15 | С | -0.433941 |
| 2 | 0 | -0.316159 | 16 | С | 0.060794 |
| 3 | Ν | -0.097821 | 17 | Н | 0.119254 |
| 4 | Ν | 0.175734 | 18 | Н | 0.122393 |
| 5 | Ν | -0.014596 | 19 | Η | 0.123996 |
| 6 | Ν | -0.319912 | 20 | Н | 0.115730 |
| 7 | С | -0.416679 | 21 | Η | 0.287721 |
| 8 | С | 0.714300 | 22 | Н | 0.077025 |
| 9 | С | -0.216007 | 23 | Н | 0.186355 |
| 10 | С | 0.425395 | 24 | Н | 0.185593 |
| 11 | С | -1.016328 | 25 | Н | 0.150506 |
| 12 | С | -0.104303 | 26 | Н | 0.273572 |
| 13 | С | -0.163049 | 27 | Η | 0.248439 |
| 14 | С | 0.093462 | 28 | Н | 0.293377 |

Table 4: Atomic charges of Thiacetazone

Thermodynamic properties

On the basis of vibrational analyses and statistical thermodynamics, the standard thermodynamic functions: heat capacity $(C_{p,m}^{0})$, entropy (S_{m}^{0}) and enthalpy (H_{m}^{0}) were calculated using perl script THERMO.PL ¹⁶ and are listed in Table 5. As observed from Table 5, the values of $C_{p,m}^{0}$, S_{m}^{0} and H_{m}^{0} all increase with the increase of temperature from 100 to 1000 K, which is attributed to the enhancement of the molecular vibration as the temperature increases.

| T (K) | S | Ср | ddH |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | (J/mol.K) | (J/mol.K) | (kJ/mol) |
| | [S ⁰ _m] | $[C^0_{p,m}]$ | $[H^0_m]$ |
| 100.00 | 362.11 | 115.18 | 7.67 |
| 200.00 | 463.96 | 187.11 | 22.77 |
| 298.15 | 551.63 | 255.95 | 44.55 |
| 300.00 | 553.22 | 257.20 | 45.03 |
| 400.00 | 636.10 | 320.57 | 73.99 |
| 500.00 | 713.55 | 373.78 | 108.80 |
| 600.00 | 785.65 | 416.91 | 148.41 |
| 700.00 | 852.63 | 451.86 | 191.91 |
| 800.00 | 914.90 | 480.55 | 238.57 |
| 900.00 | 972.93 | 504.50 | 287.86 |
| 1000.00 | 1027.16 | 524.75 | 339.35 |

Table 5: Thermodynamic properties

NLO properties

Non-linear optical (NLO) is at the forefront of current research because of its importance in providing key functions of optical modulation, optical switching, optical logic and optical memory for the emerging technologies in areas such as telecommunications, optical interconnections and signal processing. The first hyperpolarizability (β_0) of this molecular system is calculated using the B3LYP/6-311+G(D,P) method, based on the finite field approach. In the presence of an applied electric field, the energy of a system is a function of the electric

$$E = E_0 - \sum_{i} \mu_i F^i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} F^i F^j - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{ijk} \beta_{ijk} F^i F^j F^k - \frac{1}{24} \sum_{ijkl} \gamma_{ijkl} F^i F^j F^k F^l + \dots$$

field. First hyperpolarizability is a third rank tensor that can be described by a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ matrix. The 28 components of the 3D matrix can be reduced to 10 components due to the Kleinman symmetry. The components of β are defined as the coefficients in the Taylor series expansion of the energy in the external electric field. When the electric field is weak and homogeneous, this expansion becomes. where E₀ is the energy of the unperturbed molecule, Fⁱ is the field at the origin, μ_i , α_{ij} , β_{ijk} and γ_{ijkl} are the components of dipole moment, polarizability, the first hyper polarizabilities, and second hyperpolarizibilites, respectively. The calculated first hyperpolarizability of the title compound is 8526.837586 esu.

| | Polar for au | Polar for esu |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| axx | 195.5255561 | 28.97688741 |
| α_{xy} | 74.2771709 | 11.00787673 |
| α_{yy} | 373.9484907 | 55.41916632 |
| a _{xz} | -9.7708737 | -1.448043482 |
| α_{yz} | -7.5593467 | -1.120295181 |
| azz | 102.322426 | 15.16418353 |
| | HyperPolar for au | HyperPolar for |
| | | esu |
| β _{xxx} | 107.530251 | 928.9860975 |
| β _{xxy} | -129.9054739 | -1122.292361 |
| β _{xyy} | -413.5801784 | -3573.043235 |
| β _{yyy} | -886.040121 | -7654.766417 |
| β_{xxz} | 45.3758342 | 392.0154444 |
| β_{xyz} | 73.6860437 | 636.5958373 |
| β _{yyz} | 42.6927817 | 368.8357489 |
| β _{xzz} | 19.0862792 | 164.8920919 |
| β _{yzz} | 75.4452301 | 651.7939764 |
| β _{zzz} | -2.9492337 | -25.4793147 |

The polar and hyperpolar values of tittle compound are tabulated (Table 6).

Table 6: Polar and Hyper polar coordinates

Conclusion

This paper we investigated theoretical spectroscopic study performed FT-IR, FT-Raman spectra of Thiacetazone molecule. To have more information on the structural parameters, the optimized geometric parameters were determined theoretically at B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory. The HOMO LUMO energy strongly supports the presence of intramolecular energy transfer with the molecule and chemical potential, global hardness and electrophilicity are also calculated. The statistical thermodynamics properties were also obtained according to variation temperature. It is seen that statistical thermodynamics properties increase with the increasing temperature. The calculated value of b for the title compound is relatively higher than that of urea and therefore the title compound possesses considerable NLO properties.

References

- 1. Davidson PT, Le HQ (1992) Drug treatment of tuberculosis-1992. Drugs 43: 651-673.
- 2. Bermudez LE, Reynolds R, Kolonoski P, Aralar P, Inderlied CB, et al. (2003). Thiosemicarbazole (thiacetazone-like) compound with activity against Mycobacterium avium in mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47: 2685–2687.
- 3. Dover LG, Alahari A, Gratraud P, Gomes JM, Bhowruth V, et al. (2007) EthA, a Common Activator of Thiocarbamide-Containing Drugs Acting on Different Mycobacterial Targets. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51: 1055–1063.
- 4. Qian L, Ortiz de Montellano PR (2006) Oxidative Activation of Thiacetazone by the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Flavin Monooxygenase EtaA and Human FMO1 and FMO3. Chem Res Toxicol 19: 443–449.
- DeBarber AE, Mdluli K, Bosman M, Bekker LG, Barry CE 3rd (2000) Ethionamide activation and sensitivity in multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 9677– 9682.
- 6. A.D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 5648–5652.
- 7. A.D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3098–3100.
- 8. C. Lee, W. Yang, R.G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 785–789.
- 9. M.J. Frisch et al., Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT (2009).
- 10. G. Socrates, Infrared Characteristic Group Frequencies, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Brisbane, Toronto, 1980.
- 11. B. Smith, Infrared Spectral Interpretation, A Systematic Approach, CRC Press, Washington, DC, 1999
- 12. Issues in Chemical Engineering and other Chemistry Specialties: 2011 Edition (Google eBook).
- 13. M. Honda, A. Fujii, E. Fujimaki, T. Ebata and N.Nikami., J Phys Chem., 2003, 107A, 3678.
- 14. P. S. Joseph et al J. Comput. Methods Mol. Des., 2014, 4 (1):25-32.
- 15. D. AI. Wiles, B. A. Gingkasa, X D T. Suprlnchuk Canadian Journal of Chemistry. Volume 45, 469 (1907).
- Davood Nori-Shargh, Fatemeh-Rozita Ghanizadeha, Maryam Malek Hosseinib, Farzad Deyhimic, Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM Volume 808, Issues 1–3, 30 April 2007, Pages 135– 144.